UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,032	01/22/2004	Donald F. Wilson JR.	59474.21780	6979
30734 75 BAKER & HOS	590 04/20/200 TETLER LLP	7	EXAM	INER
WASHINGTON	SQUARE, SUITE 11	00	RYCKMAN,	MELISSA K
	FICUT AVE. N.W. I, DC 20036-5304		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3734	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE .
3 MON	1H2	04/20/2007	PAF	'EK

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/763,032	WILSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melissa Ryckman	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANE	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communicat DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			٠			
4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 9-20 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,9-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • •	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		~			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	ication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' response filed 10/30/06. Claims 2-4 and 9-20 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the mouth" in line 9 of claim 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 2,3,9-13, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 3.247.852).

Claim 2:

Schneider teaches a surgical clip with an interlocking latch mechanism, including a first leg (11) and a second leg (12), each of said legs having an inner vessel-clamping surface (20) and an outer surface (Fig. 1), said inner surfaces being positioned in

Application/Control Number: 10/763,032

Art Unit: 3734

opposition to each other; a flexible hinge section (13) integrally disposed between and joining the proximal ends of said first and second legs; and a female locking member (14, hook member 16,15, and portion below the number 21 in Fig. 1 that is connected to 11 and 15) positioned on the distal end of said first leg and comprising a resilient inwardly turned hook defining a recess in the mouth of said hook (recess, portion defined by 16 in Fig. 2 where 18 and 16 meet), and a male locking member (18) positioned on the distal end of said second leg comprising at least one detent (Fig. 1) extending outwardly therefrom, said female and male locking members being formed whereby when said first and second leg members are moved from an open position to a closed position about said hinge section (Fig. 1 and 2), said resilient hook of said female locking member is urged open to receive the detent of said male locking member in the recess thereof so as to removably lock said first and second leg members of said surgical clip in said closed position (Fig. 2). The surgical clip further comprising a gusset (portion of 15 that has diagonal line in the mouth of the hook) within the mouth of said hook.

Page 3

Claim 3:

3. Schneider teaches the surgical clip, wherein said gusset (portion of 15 that has diagonal line in the mouth of the hook) divides said recess (recess, portion defined by 16 in Fig. 2 where 18 and 16 meet) in the mouth of said hook so as to form a bifurcated recess (portion of 14 near 21 is one recess, and the other recess in 16).

Claims 9 and 10:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,032 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

4. Schneider teaches the surgical clip, wherein at least one of said inner surfaces of said clip comprises a plurality of protrusions (20) extending from said inner surface for providing improved vessel retention during and following closure of said clip. Both of said inner surfaces of said clip comprise said plurality of protrusions (Fig. 1, 20).

Claim 11:

- 5. Schneider teaches the surgical clip, wherein said hinge section has a continuous concave inner surface (13) and a continuous convex outer surface (See Fig. 1).

 Claim 12:
- 6. Schneider teaches the surgical clip with an interlocking latch mechanism having a first leg (11) and a second leg (12), each of said legs having an inner vessel-clamping surface (20) and an outer surface (Fig. 1), said inner surfaces being positioned in opposition to each other; a flexible hinge section (13) integrally disposed between and joining the proximal ends of said first and second legs; and a female locking member (14, hook member 16,15, and portion below the number 21 in Fig. 1 that is connected to 11 and 15) positioned on the distal end of said first leg and comprising a resilient inwardly turned hook defining a bifurcated recess (portion of 14 near 21 is one recess, and the other recess in 16) in the mouth of the hook, and a male locking member (18) positioned on the distal end of said second leg comprising two spaced-apart detents (two of 17, Fig. 5) each having a lip extending section to facilitate said detents lockingly engaging said recess (Fig. 2), whereby when said first and second leg members are moved from an open position to a closed position about said hinge section said resilient hook of said female locking member is urged open to receive the detent of said male

Application/Control Number: 10/763,032 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

locking member in the recess thereof so as to removably lock said first and second leg members of said surgical clip in said closed position (Figs. 1 and 2).

Claim 13:

The surgical clip further comprising a gusset (portion of 15 that has diagonal line in the mouth of the hook) within the mouth of said hook.

Claims 18 and 19:

7. Schneider teaches the surgical clip, wherein at least one of said inner surfaces of said clip comprises a plurality of protrusions (20) extending from said inner surface for providing improved vessel retention during and following closure of said clip. Both of said inner surfaces of said clip comprise said plurality of protrusions (Fig. 1, 20).

Claim 20:

8. Schneider teaches the surgical clip, wherein said hinge section has a continuous concave inner surface (13) and a continuous convex outer surface (See Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3734

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider (U.S. Patent No. 3.247.852) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Oh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,062,846).

Claim 14:

Schnieder teaches the claimed invention, but does not have the specified curvature as stated in the application, however Oh et al. said inner surface of said first leg (20) has a concave radius of curvature between said hinge section and its distal end, said outer surface of said first leg (30) has a convex radius of curvature between said hinge section and its distal end, said inner surface of said second leg (24) has a convex radius of curvature between said hinge section and its distal end, and said outer surface of said second leg (38) has a concave radius of curvature between said hinge section and its distal end.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to have the curvature as specified by the applicant as this improves the ergonomics or the clip.

Claims 15-17:

Schnieder teaches the claimed invention but does not have bosses, however Oh et al. teaches a pair of bosses (68 and 70) joined to opposite sides of said first leg (12) between said hinge section and the distal end of said first leg, and a pair of bosses (72 and 74) joined to opposite sides of said second leg (14) at the distal end of said second leg. A portion of said pair of bosses joined to said first leg extend beyond said outer surface of said first leg to form a bridge section (col. 6 II. 24-26). Said pair of bosses on

said second leg (72 and 74) each have a sharp tissue-penetrating tooth (81 and 83) extending therefrom toward said first leg.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a pair of bosses as these are appropriate places to hold the clip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have teeth extending from the bosses as these can be used to pierce tissue.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-4 and 9-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Ryckman whose telephone number is (571)-272-9969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,032 Page 8

Art Unit: 3734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571)-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MKR

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MAHaya